Applicant(s) Application No. SCHENA, MARK A. 09/613,006 Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner 1634 BJ Forman All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3)Shantanu Basu. (1) BJ Forman. (4)____. (2) Gene Ye. Date of Interview: 27 February 2004. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference 2) applicant's representative c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: all. Identification of prior art discussed: Beattie U.S. Patent No. 6,2668,147. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed amendments were discussed prior to submission of a response to the previous office action. The examiner stated that the proposed amendments are not free of the prior art and cited the teaching of Beattie. Mr. Ye stated that he would consult with the applicant prior to submitting a response. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required